

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/913,870	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KLUESNER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Brian P Mruk	<b>Art Unit</b> 1751

**All Participants:**(1) Brian P Mruk.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Laura Grunzinger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 April 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached between the examiner and the attorney to amend instant claim 23 to correct a minor informality, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)